

Like countless others, I am profoundly worried that the proposed BPL broadband internet service will severely and negatively impact my amateur radio station where my operations are already significantly limited due to CC&Rs. In those instances where the BPL signal strength should exceed that of the stations with which I am conversing, I will lose the ability to maintain contact with those stations.

In those instances where I am operating mobile, my signal may cause "allowable interference" under the Part 15 rules to users of BPL - and I will be the one who they will identify and blame as the source of what they perceive to be unlawful interference. Rather than properly looking to their service providers for correction of the problem, they will expect me to cease the interference, which, of course, is contrary to the rules.

Clearly, once the BPL service has been initiated, the offending signals will be present within the walls/wiring of my own home regardless of whether or not I use the service.

In any case, as I operate my station fixed or mobile, the BPL signal will become intrusive and may result in making my radio operation unusable.

I submit that the use of technologies that do not radiate a field that could cause interference to primary radio users, such as fiber optics, is more practical and would result in a more useable service for future subscribers. Based on the foregoing, I am opposed to the allowance of BPL for broadband Internet service.